RNA-seq-based elucidation of lactylation in breast cancer

Keywords

Breast cancer, Lactylation, PGK1, Tumor metabolism, Tumor immunity

Abstract

Introduction

Lactylation is the covalent modification of histones using lactate as a small molecule precursor, playing a role in epigenetic regulation. As a novel protein post-translational modification, it has demonstrated significant relevance in the field of cancer diagnosis and therapy. However, the interaction between lactylation and tumor cells in breast cancer has not been extensively investigated.

Material and methods

We acquired breast cancer-related data from the GEO and TCGA databases. Lactylation-related genes were identified from the differentially expressed genes. We utilized COX and LASSO regression to identify genes with significant prognostic value for constructing a prognostic model and assessing its predictive performance. This model was integrated with clinical parameters to create a nomogram. Finally, we conducted immune infiltration analysis, analyzed differences in biological functions, and assessed drug sensitivity.

Results

We ultimately identified 3 lactylation-related genes significantly associated with prognosis. These genes were used to construct a prognostic model and calculate a risk score. Using the median score, patients were divided into high-risk and low-risk groups. Notably, the low-risk group patients exhibited better prognosis and higher levels of immune infiltration. GO/KEGG enrichment analysis revealed that PGK1, the gene with the highest HR among these genes, is widely involved in immune, metabolic, and proliferative signaling pathways. Its high expression also correlates with increased sensitivity to anti-tumor drugs.

Conclusions

We showcased the potential of lactylation-based molecular clustering and prognostic profiling for predicting survival, immune status, and treatment response in breast cancer patients. Additionally, we envision the utilization of PGK1 as a diagnostic marker and therapeutic target in the cancer.

RNA-seq-based elucidation of lactylation in breast cancer

2 1 Introduction

3 In the gradual progression from normal cells to cancer, these cells acquire certain acquired functions, 4 including sustaining proliferative signaling, resisting cell death, evading growth suppressors, 5 enabling replicative immortality, inducing angiogenesis, and activating invasion and metastasis, ultimately leading to tumor formation and deterioration^[1]. In recent years, as our understanding of 6 7 cancer has deepened, additional characteristics of tumors have emerged, such as deregulating cellular 8 energetics, avoiding immune destruction, genome instability and mutation, and tumor-promoting 9 inflammation^[2]. Among malignant tumors, breast cancer is the most common in women globally, 10 accounting for 31% of all newly diagnosed cancers. It is a type of malignancy that develops through 11 a multivariate-mediated process involving multiple steps and stages. Importantly, mutations in the 12 BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes not only increase the hereditary nature of breast cancer but also 13 contribute to the complexity of this disease. While early breast cancer screening and treatment 14 advancements have led to reduced mortality rates ^[3], the rising incidence of breast cancer emphasizes 15 the urgency for targeted interventions. Our study delves into understanding lactylation in breast 16 cancer, aiming to inform tailored treatment strategies and improve patient outcomes.

17 The deregulation of cellular energetics in cancerous diseases is evident in the downregulation of 18 cell proliferation control and the adaptation of energy metabolism. Under aerobic conditions, normal 19 cells undergo aerobic oxidation of glucose. However, in hypoxic conditions, cells further reduce the 20 pyruvate generated from glycolysis into lactate within the cytoplasm. The Warburg effect indicates 21 that even when oxygen is abundant, cancer cells restructure their energy metabolism by constraining 22 the glucose metabolism process to glycolysis, leading to the production of significant amounts of 23 lactate ^[4]. Glycolysis-driven energy supply is associated with cancer genes like RAS and MYC, as 24 well as tumor suppressor genes like TP53. Alterations in these genes within cancer cells grant them abilities such as enhanced cell proliferation, resistance to cell death, and evasion of apoptosis, 25 ultimately promoting tumor development^[5,6]. Lactate, a metabolic byproduct generated from glucose 26 through glycolysis catalyzed by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), plays crucial biological roles as an 27 28 energy source, an immune regulatory molecule, and a participant in gluconeogenesis. LDH exists in two distinct subtypes, LDHA and LDHB, each with specific functions ^[7]. LDHA is responsible for 29

30 converting pyruvate into lactate, and its expression is regulated by proteins like hypoxia inducible factor-1 α (HIF1 α), c-Myc, and p53^[8]. In contrast, LDHB converts lactate back into pyruvate to 31 32 promote oxidative metabolism, and its loss or downregulation is closely associated with the development and poorer prognosis of cancers like pancreatic and liver cancer ^[9,10]. Additionally, 33 34 lactate produced by cancer cells can be secreted into the extracellular environment, serving as a signaling molecule to further promote cancer development^[7]. It can stimulate endothelial cells to 35 36 secrete VEGF protein and activate the NF-kB/IL-8 (CXCL8) pathway, thereby facilitating tumorrelated angiogenesis ^[11,12]. Lactate also plays a vital role in maintaining an acidic environment, 37 38 regulating the tumor microenvironment (TME) through processes like cell invasion, metastasis, and immune escape, thereby sustaining tumor growth ^[13]. As a result, lactate has become a potent 39 molecule influencing the behavior of every cell within the TME. 40

41 In 2019. Zhang and colleagues introduced a groundbreaking concept called 'lactylation' – a 42 novel post-translational modification. It involves using lactate, a product of cellular metabolism, as a 43 small-molecule precursor to induce lactylation of histone lysine, thereby regulating gene expression. 44 This opened up a new frontier in the study of protein lactulation. They employed mass spectrometric 45 analysis to detect a molecular weight shift of 72.021 Daltons on histone lysine residues in the breast 46 cancer MCF-7 cell line. Through isotopic labeling methods and various in vitro and in vivo 47 experiments, they convincingly demonstrated the widespread presence of lysine lactylation. 48 Furthermore, they found that the abundance of lactylation in MCF-7 cells is positively correlated with lactate concentration, and it is regulated by glycolysis and hypoxia induction ^[14]. Increasingly, 49 research has shown the close association of lactylation with inflammatory diseases, tumors, 50 neurodegenerative diseases, and more ^[15-17]. While the research on protein lactylation is still in its 51 52 early stages, it has opened up new horizons for targeting lactate metabolism, transport, and immune-53 related anti-cancer strategies. Our study, based on a literature search, revealed limited reports on the 54 functional role of lactylation in breast cancer. Therefore, our research aims to identify differentially 55 expressed genes related to lactylation in breast cancer, construct a prognostic model for more 56 accurate patient prognosis prediction, and explore effective cancer therapies. Our study not only 57 advances our understanding of the interaction between lactylation and cancer but also has the 58 potential to uncover promising cancer immunotherapy targets, contributing to the fight against breast 59 cancer.

60 2 Methods

61 **2.1 Data download and processing**

62 We obtained breast cancer RNA expression data, CNV files, and corresponding clinicopathological 63 information from the TCGA-BRCA project (GDC (cancer.gov)). Clinical parameters and normalized 64 gene expression data from the GSE162228 (GEO Accession viewer (nih.gov)) breast cancer dataset 65 available in the GEO database, which consists of samples from Taiwanese breast cancer patients ^[18]. 66 To ensure data integrity, samples lacking essential clinicopathological or survival information were 67 excluded. Lactylation is facilitated by specific enzymes or protein modifiers. Therefore, lactylation-68 related genes encompass those encoding these enzymes and genes associated with the substrate 69 proteins involved in lactylation. We included a total of 332 lactylation-related genes for subsequent analysis^[19]. The lactylation-associated gene Protein-Protein Interaction (PPI) network was 70 71 constructed using the STRING website (STRING: functional protein association networks (string-72 db.org)). We calculated the frequency of copy number variations in lactylation-related genes by 73 analyzing changes in gene copy numbers in breast cancer samples from the TCGA database. 74 Subsequently, the "RCircos" package in R language was utilized to create a circular gene copy 75 number map. Finally, COX and co-expression analyses were used to generate the prognostic network 76 of lactylation-related genes.

77

2.2 Screening of lactylation prognosis-related genes in breast cancer

78 First, we began by identifying lactylation-related genes with prognostic value through differential 79 expression analysis and univariate COX regression analysis within the entire dataset of breast cancer 80 samples. Subsequently, we narrowed down the list of prognosis-related genes using LASSO 81 regression. Genes with confirmed prognostic significance were then selected through multivariate 82 COX regression analysis, and we proceeded to construct prognostic models. To calculate the risk 83 score for each breast cancer sample, we utilized the accumulation method by multiplying the 84 coefficient with the gene's expression level. Based on the median value, we categorized the samples 85 into high-risk and low-risk groups and examined the prognostic differences between these groups. 86 We employed the Kaplan-Meier method to generate survival curves for breast cancer patients, and 87 these curves were visualized using the "survminer" package. Furthermore, we conducted an in-depth 88 analysis of the clinical data and risk scores for all breast cancer patients, calculating survival times 89 and statuses. This information was used to create a nomogram. Finally, we employed the R package 90 "timeROC" (V0.4) to generate a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve for assessing the 91 sensitivity and specificity of the risk model.

92 **2.3** Cluster analysis

93 We employed the "ConsensusClusterPlus" package to conduct unsupervised clustering of breast 94 cancer samples, based on the expression levels of lactylation-related genes. The results indicated that 95 the samples were most effectively categorized into two distinct classes. Subsequently, we created a 96 heat map to visualize the correlation between the expression patterns of lactylation-related genes in 97 different clusters and the clinical information of patients. We then quantified the expression of 98 immune cells in these distinct clusters using the ssGSEA method and presented the results through 99 box plots. In addition, we obtained the GO/KEGG pathway files from the GSEA website and utilized 100 the "GSEABase" and "GSVA" packages for pathway enrichment analysis and heat map visualization.

101 **2.4 GO/KEGG analysis**

We conducted the Wilcoxon test to identify DEGs in both groups. The risk score was calculated using the R package "limma," with the criteria of FDR < 0.05 and $|log2 FC| \ge 1$. For GO/KEGG enrichment analysis, we utilized the R packages "clusterProfiler" and "enrichplot."

105 106

2.5 The relationship between lactylation-related molecular patterns and the clinical features and prognosis of breast cancer

To assess the clinical relevance of the clusters generated by consensus clustering, we examined their
associations with molecular patterns, clinical characteristics, and survival outcomes. Clinical
characteristics encompassed age, gender, tumor staging, and lymph node staging. Furthermore,
Kaplan-Meier analyses were conducted using the "survival" and "survminer" packages to evaluate
differences in overall survival (OS) among the various models ^[20].

112 **2.6 Establishment of a predictive nomogram**

113 The nomogram is created to offer meaningful clinical predictions for breast cancer patients,

114 encompassing their risk scores and other clinicopathological characteristics, with a particular focus

115 on the 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year OS rates. We assessed the clinical validity of the established

116 nomogram through calibration curve analysis and decision curve analysis (DCA).

117 2.7 Lactylation-related molecular patterns and TME in breast cancer

118 The ESTIMATE algorithm evaluated the StromalScore and ImmuneScore of breast cancer patients,

and the CIBERSORT algorithm was employed to calculate the levels of 23 immune cell subtypes for

120 each patient ^[21,22]. The infiltrating fraction of immune cells was determined using the single sample

121 gene set enrichment analysis (ssGSEA) algorithm ^[23].

122

2.8 Drug sensitivity prediction

123 The half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) values for common anti-tumor drugs were 124 computed using the "oncoPredict" R package to predict drug responses in breast cancer patients with 125 varying levels of PGK1 expression.

126 **2.9** Cell culture and transfection

127 The human breast cancer cell line HS578T, provided by the Medical Laboratory of Yan'an

- 128 University, was utilized in this study. Cells were cultured in DMEM medium (BI, Israel)
- 129 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (BI, Israel) at 37°C in a constant temperature
- 130 incubator with 5% carbon dioxide. The siRNA sequence used in this research was PGK1 5'-
- 131 GAGTCAATCTGCCACAGAA-3' (GenePharma, China)^[24]. Previously synthesized siRNA targeting
- the PGK1 gene was transfected into cells using Lipo 2000 (Invitrogen, USA).

133 **2.10 RNA isolation and quantitative real-time pcr analysis**

134 This study utilized Quantitative RT-PCR to assess the knockdown efficacy of siRNA. Total cellular

- 135 RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), and RNA concentration
- 136 was checked. Reverse transcription was performed using Hifair® III 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis
- 137 SuperMix for qPCR (gDNA digester plus) from Yeasen Biotechnology, China. qPCR was conducted
- 138 using Hieff® qPCR SYBR Green Master Mix (No Rox) from Yeasen Biotechnology, China, with
- 139 GAPDH as the reference gene. The primer sequences used in this experiment were as follows: PGK1
- 140 (forward, 5'-TCACTCGGGCTAAGCAGATT-3'; reverse, 5'-CAGTGCTCACATGGCTGACT-3').
- 141 Amplification reactions were carried out using a qPCR instrument with the following conditions:
- 142 95°C for 5 min; 95°C for 10 s; 60°C for 30 s. After 40 cycles of amplification, data analysis was
- 143 conducted, ensuring correct amplification and melting curves.

144 **2.11 CCK8 assay**

145 In this study, cell viability of HS578T cells was assessed using the Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8)

- 146 method (IC-1519, InCellGene, Tx. USA). Cells were seeded at a density of 1500 cells per well in a
- 147 96-well cell culture plate and then transfected with siRNA. After transfection, cells were placed back
- 148 in the incubator, and 10 μ L of CCK-8 reagent was added at the same time every day for detection at
- 149 0h, 24h, 48h, and 72h. Finally, their absorbance at a wavelength of 450nm was measured using a
- 150 microplate reader (Molecular Devices, USA).

151 **2.12 Scratch wound healing assay**

- HS578T cells, cultured in a 6-well plate, were transfected with both PGK1 siRNA and NC siRNA at a 70% confluence rate. A sterile 100 μ L pipette tip was used to create cell scratches, and images were captured at 0, 12, 24, and 36 hours post-scratch to ensure consistent scratch area. Image acquisition
- 155 was performed using a Nikon Ti-S fluorescent microscope.
- 156 **2.13 Statistical analysis**

157 All statistical analyses were conducted using R software (version 4.3.1). The t-test was employed to 158 assess differences between the two groups, while the log-rank test was utilized to examine disparities 159 between the Kaplan-Meier curves. Univariate and multivariate COX regression analyses were 160 performed to identify risk factors associated with breast cancer prognosis. A significance level of P <161 0.05 was considered indicative of statistical significance.

162 **3 Results**

163 **3.1 Lactylation-related genes expression and mutation in breast cancer**

164 We began by identifying lactylation-related genes within the DEGs of the TCGA-BRCA dataset 165 (Figure 1A). Subsequently, we obtained a total of 83 DEGs for further analysis, and their relative 166 expressions are depicted in Figure 1B. Using the STRING website, we conducted a PPI network 167 analysis to elucidate interactions among these DEGs (Figure 1C). Further, we assessed the 168 frequencies of CNV for ten prognosis-related genes through CNV files (Figure 1D). The results 169 hinted at CNV potentially playing a regulatory role in the expression of lactylation prognosis-related 170 genes. In Figure 1E, you can observe the CNV-altered sites on the chromosomes of lactylation 171 prognosis-related genes.

172 **3.2** Lactylation subgroups and their characterization in breast cancer

We combined the TCGA-BRCA and GSE162228 datasets to enhance the sample size and then
identified ten prognosis-related genes among the 83 DEGs using univariate COX regression analysis
and Kaplan-Meier analysis (CACYBP, G6PD, HSPE1, PGK1, PRDX1, PSMA7, PTMA,

176 RACGAP1, RAN, and WAS). Subsequently, we illustrated the interactions, regulatory relationships,

and their significance for survival in breast cancer patients using a network diagram (Figure 2A). A

178 forest plot visually displayed the HR values of the lactylation prognosis-related genes, classifying

them as high or low risk (Figure 2B). To gain insights into the connection between lactylation and

- 180 breast carcinogenesis and to determine how lactylation-related genes correlate with breast cancer
- 181 expression patterns, we conducted a consensus clustering analysis of breast cancer patients based on

182 the expression levels of DEGs. The results indicated that the optimal clustering variable was 2 183 (Figure 2C), and the breast cancer patients in the cohort were well-distributed into these two groups. 184 Principal components analysis (PCA) further affirmed the clear separation between the groups 185 (Figure 2D). Additionally, when comparing the OS of patients in the two groups, we observed that 186 cluster B had a worse prognosis than cluster A (Figure 2E). Furthermore, we investigated the 187 relationship between gene expression and clinicopathologic variables in different clusters, revealing 188 significant differences between the two groups (Figure 2F). We then identified differential pathways 189 between cluster A and cluster B through GSVA analysis. These pathways included "CITRATE-190 CYCLE-TCA-CYCLE," "MAPK-SIGNALING-PATHWAY," "CELL-CYCLE," "PURINE-191 METABOLISM," "CYSTEINE-AND-METHIONINE-METABOLISM," and "PYRIMIDINE-192 METABOLISM" (Figure 2G). Lastly, we analyzed variations in immune cell infiltration levels 193 between different clusters using the ssGSEA algorithm. The results showed that cluster B exhibited 194 relatively higher infiltration of activated CD4 T cells and type 2 T helper cells (Th2). Conversely, 195 cluster A displayed more significant immune cell infiltration, including B cells, natural killer cells, 196 eosinophils, macrophages, mast cells, monocytes, neutrophils, and other cell types (Figure 2H). 197 Consequently, cluster A, characterized by higher immune infiltration levels, displayed a more 198 favorable prognosis compared to cluster B.

199

3.3 Construction and evaluation of prognostic models

200 We initially identified genes associated with patient prognosis through univariate COX regression 201 analysis, followed by LASSO regression analysis. The LASSO analysis revealed that, based on the 202 optimal λ -value, gene selection stabilized and minimized partial likelihood bias when including three 203 genes (Figure 3A-B). Consequently, we identified three lactylation-related genes significantly 204 associated with prognosis: Risk score = $(0.6409 \times PGK1) - (0.3610 \times PTMA) - (0.2484 \times WAS)$. 205 Subsequently, we divided the patients into high-risk and low-risk groups using the median risk score. 206 Kaplan-Meier survival curves illustrated that patients in the high-risk group had significantly worse 207 prognosis compared to those in the low-risk group (Figure 3C). Furthermore, we evaluated the 208 predictive performance of this model using ROC curves. The results demonstrated high predictive 209 accuracy with an AUC of 0.721, 0.644, and 0.630 at 1, 3, and 5 years, respectively (Figure 3D). 210 Additionally, the heat map displayed the expression of the selected prognostically relevant genes 211 (Figure 3E). The findings suggested that WAS and PTMA might act as protective factors for breast 212 cancer, while PGK1 could be a risk factor. Notably, we constructed a Sankey diagram to visualize the 213 relationship between different clusters, risk scores, and patients' survival status. These diagrams

214 revealed that the majority of cluster A corresponded to the low-risk group with a relatively favorable 215 prognosis, while most of cluster B corresponded to the high-risk group with a less favorable 216 prognosis (Fig. 3F). In line with the aforementioned results, Figure 3G indicated that the risk score of 217 cluster B was higher than that of cluster A. Given the strong correlation between the risk score and 218 patient prognosis, we incorporated clinical parameters to construct a nomogram. This nomogram 219 assessed the OS of breast cancer patients at 1, 3, and 5 years (Figure 3H). The calibration curve of the 220 nomogram demonstrated high accuracy between actual observed and predicted values (Figure 3I). 221 Furthermore, the DCA curves showed that the nomogram's prediction of patients' OS at 1, 3, and 5 222 years outperformed individual clinicopathologic variables (Figure 3J-L). Therefore, our modeled 223 genes exhibited strong predictive efficacy, whether grouped by the risk score derived from COX 224 analysis or unsupervised clustering.

225

3.4 Immune infiltration analysis

226 As demonstrated in the preceding analysis, there exists a notable disparity in patient prognosis across 227 different risk groups. To delve deeper into the disease's etiology and provide relevant insights for 228 breast cancer immunotherapy, we assessed the correlation between the risk score and immune cell abundance using the CIBERSORT algorithm. The results unveiled variations in the distribution and 229 230 relative content of immune cells among different risk groups (Figure 4A). Further scrutiny revealed 231 that the risk score exhibited a positive correlation with the infiltration of macrophages M0, 232 macrophages M2, and neutrophils, while displaying a significant negative correlation with the 233 infiltration of naive B cells, activated CD8 T cells, and resting dendritic cells (Figure 4B-G). 234 Subsequently, we conducted a specific analysis of the disparities in immune infiltration levels 235 between distinct risk groups utilizing the ESTIMATE algorithm, which underscored that the low-risk 236 group exhibited higher immune infiltration levels (Figure 4H). Next, we explored the relationship 237 between genes significantly associated with lactylation prognosis and immune cell enrichment. The 238 findings revealed a robust correlation between the two (Figure 4I). Furthermore, we evaluated the 239 association between the risk score and stromal cells as well as immune cells within the TME using 240 the ESTIMATE algorithm. The results indicated that the risk score exhibited a negative correlation 241 with StromalScore, ImmuneScore, and ESTIMATEScore, implying that the low-risk group had a 242 higher infiltration of non-tumor cells within the TME (Figure 4J).

243 **3.5** Prognostic analysis, biological function, and drug sensitivity analysis of PGK1

244 PGK1 exhibited the highest HR value in both COX and LASSO regression analyses. It was also 245 identified as one of the genes significantly associated with lactylation prognosis. Consequently, we 246 conducted a survival analysis for PGK1. Kaplan-Meier survival curves clearly indicated that 247 variations in PGK1 expression significantly influenced the survival outcomes of breast cancer 248 patients (P < 0.001), with patients exhibiting low PGK1 expression demonstrating a more favorable 249 prognosis (Figure 5A). In the GSE124647 dataset, we also observed a significant difference in OS 250 and progression free survival (PFS) rates between patients with high PGK1 expression and those with 251 low expression (Figure 5B-C). Subsequently, we delved into the distinct signaling pathways between 252 the high PGK1 group and the low PGK1 group through GO/KEGG enrichment analysis. Notably, we 253 identified differentially enriched pathways such as "cell cycle," "PPAR signaling pathway," "IL-17 signaling pathway," "tyrosine metabolism," "phenylalanine metabolism," and "ECM-receptor 254 255 interaction" (Figure 5D-E). Previous research has elucidated that peroxisome proliferator activated 256 receptor (PPAR), aside from regulating energy metabolism, plays a pivotal role in immune cell differentiation and fate determination ^[25]; interleukin 17 (IL-17) serves as a key player in immune 257 258 system regulation and is a significant pro-inflammatory factor ^[26]; and the extracellular matrix can 259 impact immune function by suppressing anti-tumor immune responses ^[27,28]. Hence, the signaling 260 pathways we identified are extensively implicated in immunoregulation, energy metabolism, and cell 261 proliferation. Lastly, we computed the IC50 values of breast cancer concerning commonly used anti-262 tumor drugs using the "oncoPredict" tool and compared them between the two groups. The results 263 indicated that patients with high PGK1 expression exhibited increased sensitivity to epirubicin, 264 palbociclib, ribociclib, sorafenib, cytarabine, and gemcitabine (Figure 5F-K).

2653.6Knocking down PGK1 resulted in decreased viability of HS578T cells in vitro

266 We employed the q RT-PCR method to assess the knockdown efficiency of PGK1 siRNA in HS578T 267 breast cancer cells. After 24 hours post-transfection, we examined the expression levels of PGK1 268 mRNA (Figure 6A) and found a significant decrease induced by the siRNA sequences (P < 0.01). 269 Subsequently, CCK8 analysis revealed a notable reduction in cell viability following PGK1 gene 270 knockdown (Figure 6B). Finally, a scratch assay was conducted to assess the impact of PGK1 271 knockdown on the migration capability of HS578T cells. The results indicated a significantly slower 272 scratch closure in the PGK1 knockdown group compared to the siRNA negative control (NC) group 273 (Figure 6C), suggesting that PGK1 knockdown may be an effective strategy to inhibit breast cancer 274 cell proliferation and migration.

275 **4 Discussion**

276 Protein post-translational modification refers to the chemical alterations of proteins after 277 translation, which can regulate protein activity, localization, folding, and interactions with other 278 biomolecules. Proteins can undergo various forms of modification, such as acetylation, methylation, 279 ubiquitination, and, with the advancement of high-sensitivity mass spectrometry, modifications 280 stemming from cellular metabolites like lactylation are also gradually being discovered. In the human 281 embryonic kidney HEK293T cell line, over expression of histone acetyltransferase p300 (p300) has 282 been observed to enhance lysine lactylation levels, while the absence of p300 results in a reduction in 283 histone lysine lactylation levels in HEK293T and similar cell lines ^[14]. Similarly, in the lactate-284 induced mouse macrophage system RAW 264.7, the levels of lactylation can be significantly reduced 285 by knocking down p300 or CREB-binding protein (CBP)^[29]. Additionally, Class I and Class III 286 histone deacetylases (HDACs) play a role in de-lactylation within cells^[30].

287 The discovery of lactylation has not only opened up new frontiers in the study of protein post-288 translational modification but has also proposed potential regulatory mechanisms for the role of 289 lactate in physiological and pathological processes such as cancer, inflammation, and metabolism. 290 Lactylation levels exhibit dynamic changes in mouse oocytes and pre-implantation embryos, and in 291 vitro hypoxic culture reduces lactylation levels, impairing the developmental potential of pre-292 implantation embryos^[31]. Metabolic remodeling induced by GLIS family zinc finger 1 (GLIS1) 293 involves the generation of abundant lactate and an increase in lactylation levels on pluripotency gene 294 promoters, enhancing reprogramming efficiency and even reprogramming of aging cells ^[32]. 295 Macrophages specific expression of B-cell adapter for phosphoinositide 3-kinase (BCAP) affects the 296 expression of repair genes by regulating lactylation levels, aiding the body in mitigating 297 inflammatory responses ^[33]. Additionally, neuronal excitation in the brain elevates lactate content and 298 lactylation levels in brain cells^[34]. In Alzheimer's disease (AD) patients' brain samples, lactylation 299 levels rise and enrich at the promoters of glycolysis genes, activating their transcription. This 300 ultimately forms a "glycolysis/histone lactylation/pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2)" positive feedback loop, promoting the development of AD^[17]. In ocular melanoma, elevated lactylation levels 301 302 upregulate YTH N6-methyladenosine RNA-binding protein 2 (YTHDF2) expression, leading to the 303 degradation of period circadian regulator 1 (PER1) and TP53 mRNA, ultimately driving tumor 304 initiation, progression, and unfavorable outcomes ^[16]. Thus, exploring the role of lactylation in breast 305 cancer becomes highly intriguing. This not only offers insights into protein post-translational

306 modification in breast cancer research but also paves the way for new directions in the treatment of307 breast cancer patients.

308 In breast cancer, lactylation is closely associated with tumor growth, the immune microenvironment, and drug response^[35]. In this study, we initiated our investigation by delving into 309 310 breast cancer data from the GEO and TCGA databases to identify lactylation-related genes. Utilizing 311 an unsupervised clustering approach, we categorized breast cancer patients into two clusters, namely 312 cluster A and cluster B. Among them, cluster A displayed a more favorable prognosis and higher 313 levels of immune infiltration. Further analysis through COX regression and LASSO regression led us 314 to identify three lactylation-related genes (PTMA, WAS, PGK1) that hold significant prognostic value. Among these, prothymosin alpha (PTMA) shows progressively upregulated expression in 315 316 esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, with significantly higher expression levels between tumors and 317 adjacent normal tissues as the disease progresses ^[36]. Circ-0004277 participates in colorectal cancer 318 cell proliferation by upregulating PTMA expression^[37]. Additionally, studies indicate that levels of 319 PTMA in tumor samples from breast cancer patients are significantly higher than in normal breast tissue, and these PTMA levels correlate positively with certain indicators of cancer progression ^[38]. 320 321 However, in bladder cancer, PTMA exerts its tumor-suppressive role by upregulating PTEN and 322 coordinating the nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (NRF2) signaling pathway through tripartite motif-containing protein 21 (TRIM21)^[39]. The WAS gene belongs to the Wiskott-Aldrich 323 324 syndrome protein family, and N-WASP exhibits significantly downregulated expression in breast 325 cancer, correlating with poor prognosis^[40]. Similarly, WASP acts as a tumor suppressor in T cell lymphoma^[41], while in prostate cancer, it enhances cancer cell invasion and metastasis^[42]. WASP 326 327 and its family can also regulate actin polymerization in breast cancer, promoting cell invasion and migration, thus exhibiting oncogenic functions ^[43]. PGK1 is an essential enzyme in the glycolysis 328 329 pathway and is involved in various biological processes. In hepatocellular carcinoma, PGK1 promotes cancer cell metastasis through pathways like HIF-1α/PGK1 and MYC/PGK1^[44,45]. In colon 330 331 cancer, PGK1 fosters cancer metastasis by upregulating the expression of early growth response 1 (EGR1) and cysteine-rich 61 (CYR61)^[46]. In papillary thyroid carcinoma, Sirtuin 6 (SIRT6) 332 333 enhances tumor invasiveness by increasing PGK1 expression to promote the Warburg effect ^[47]. 334 Subsequently, we constructed a prognostic model using these three genes and assessed its efficacy. 335 Patients were stratified into high-risk and low-risk groups based on the median risk score, revealing 336 significant differences in patient outcomes between the groups. Furthermore, we analyzed the 337 differences in immune infiltration levels between different risk groups using the CIBERSORT and

338 ESTIMATE algorithms. The results indicated a close correlation between the low-risk group and 339 immune cell infiltration. Following this, we developed a nomogram by incorporating the risk score 340 and clinical-pathological parameters. The calibration curve and DCA curve both demonstrated the 341 high accuracy of this nomogram in predicting survival rates. Given that PGK1 exhibited the highest 342 HR in the COX regression analysis, we delved deeper into its role. The results revealed that patients 343 with high PGK1 expression had significantly worse prognosis than those with low PGK1 expression. 344 Prior research has indicated that high intracellular expression of PGK1 leads to increased tumor cell 345 proliferation and can enhance the progression and metastasis of breast cancer through the promotion of HIF-1α-mediated EMT^[48,49]. Furthermore, PGK1's involvement in various protein post-346 translational modifications such as acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, and succinvlation 347 plays a crucial role in regulating tumor metabolism and growth ^[50-53]. Consistent with these findings, 348 349 our GO/KEGG enrichment analysis identified PGK1's extensive involvement in immune, metabolic, 350 and proliferative signaling pathways. Furthermore, PGK1 has been associated with chemotherapy 351 resistance in cancer patients ^[54]. Finally, our drug sensitivity analysis revealed that patients with high 352 PGK1 expression exhibited high sensitivity to anti-tumor drugs such as epirubicin and palbociclib. 353 Other studies have also shown that inhibiting PGK1 can increase gastric cancer cell sensitivity to 5-354 FU and mitomycin^[55], and breast cancer patients with high PGK1 expression had shorter overall 355 survival when treated with paclitaxel^[56].

356 Finally, we confirmed through *in vitro* cell experiments that the knockout of the PGK1 gene in 357 human breast cancer HS578T cells significantly inhibits both proliferation and migration of breast 358 cancer cells. This underscores the pivotal role of the PGK1 gene in the development of breast cancer 359 and its potential as a promising therapeutic target for the future. Additionally, assessing PGK1 360 expression before chemotherapy could predict patients' sensitivity to chemotherapy drugs, and 361 reducing PGK1 expression presents a new strategy to overcome drug resistance. However, there is an 362 urgent need for specific inhibitors targeting PGK1 to target cancer cells and develop therapeutic 363 drugs, which holds significant importance. Despite some remaining questions about lactylation, the 364 progress in related research has opened up an entirely new field in protein post-translational 365 modification. We hope to elucidate the specific roles and regulatory mechanisms of lactylation in 366 diseases in the near future.

367 **5 References**

368
 1. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. The hallmarks of cancer. *Cell*. 2000;100(1):57-70.
 369 doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81683-9

- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell*. 2011;144(5):646-674.
 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013
- 372 3. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2023.CA Cancer J Clin.
 373 2023;73(1):17-48. doi:10.3322/caac.21763
- WARBURG O. On the origin of cancer cells. *Science*. 1956;123(3191):309-314.
 doi:10.1126/science.123.3191.309
- 5. DeBerardinis RJ, Lum JJ, Hatzivassiliou G, Thompson CB. The biology of cancer: metabolic
 reprogramming fuels cell growth and proliferation. *Cell Metab.* 2008;7(1):11-20.
 doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2007.10.002
- Jones RG, Thompson CB. Tumor suppressors and cell metabolism: a recipe for cancer growth.
 Genes Dev. 2009;23(5):537-548. doi:10.1101/gad.1756509
- 381
 381
 7. Certo M, Tsai CH, Pucino V, Ho PC, Mauro C. Lactate modulation of immune responses in 382 inflammatory versus tumor microenvironments. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2021;21(3):151-161. 383 doi:10.1038/s41577-020-0406-2
- Brooks GA. The Science and Translation of Lactate Shuttle Theory. *Cell Metab.* 2018;27(4):757-785. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2018.03.008
- Section 28. Cui J, Quan M, Jiang W, et al. Suppressed expression of LDHB promotes pancreatic cancer progression via inducing glycolytic phenotype. *Med Oncol*. 2015;32(5):143. doi:10.1007/s12032-015-0589-8
- 10. Kim JH, Kim EL, Lee YK, et al. Decreased lactate dehydrogenase B expression enhances claudin
 1-mediated hepatoma cell invasiveness via mitochondrial defects. *Exp Cell Res.* 2011;317(8):1108-1118. doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2011.02.011
- 11. Végran F, Boidot R, Michiels C, Sonveaux P, Feron O. Lactate influx through the endothelial cell
 monocarboxylate transporter MCT1 supports an NF-κB/IL-8 pathway that drives tumor
 angiogenesis. *Cancer Res.* 2011;71(7):2550-2560. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-2828
- 12. Polet F, Feron O. Endothelial cell metabolism and tumor angiogenesis: glucose and glutamine as
 essential fuels and lactate as the driving force. *J Intern Med.* 2013;273(2):156-165.
 doi:10.1111/joim.12016
- 398
 398 13. Ippolito L, Morandi A, Giannoni E, Chiarugi P. Lactate: A Metabolic Driver in the Tumour
 399 Landscape. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 2019;44(2):153-166. doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2018.10.011
- 400
 41. Zhang D, Tang Z, Huang H, et al. Metabolic regulation of gene expression by histone lactylation.
 401 *Nature*. 2019;574(7779):575-580. doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1678-1
- 402 15. Chu X, Di C, Chang P, et al. Lactylated Histone H3K18 as a Potential Biomarker for the Diagnosis
 403 and Predicting the Severity of Septic Shock. *Front Immunol*. 2022;12:786666. Published 2022
 404 Jan 6. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.786666
- 405
 406
 406 protein YTHDF2 expression in ocular melanoma. *Genome Biol.* 2021;22(1):85. Published 2021
 407 Mar 16. doi:10.1186/s13059-021-02308-z
- 408
 409
 409 histone H4 lysine 12 lactylation in Alzheimer's disease. *Cell Metab.* 2022;34(4):634-648.e6.
 410 doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2022.02.013
- 411 18. Chen YJ, Huang CS, Phan NN, et al. Molecular subtyping of breast cancer intrinsic taxonomy
 412 with oligonucleotide microarray and NanoString nCounter. *Biosci Rep.*413 2021;41(8):BSR20211428. doi:10.1042/BSR20211428
- 414 19. Cheng Z, Huang H, Li M, Liang X, Tan Y, Chen Y. Lactylation-Related Gene Signature
 415 Effectively Predicts Prognosis and Treatment Responsiveness in Hepatocellular Carcinoma.
 416 *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*. 2023;16(5):644. Published 2023 Apr 25. doi:10.3390/ph16050644
- 417 20. Rich JT, Neely JG, Paniello RC, Voelker CC, Nussenbaum B, Wang EW. A practical guide to

- 418 understanding Kaplan-Meier curves. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2010;143(3):331-336.
 419 doi:10.1016/j.otohns.2010.05.007
- 420
 421 Meng Z, Ren D, Zhang K, Zhao J, Jin X, Wu H. Using ESTIMATE algorithm to establish an 8421 mRNA signature prognosis prediction system and identify immunocyte infiltration-related genes
 422 in Pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Aging (Albany NY)*. 2020;12(6):5048-5070.
 423 doi:10.18632/aging.102931
- 424
 425 Chen B, Khodadoust MS, Liu CL, Newman AM, Alizadeh AA. Profiling Tumor Infiltrating
 425 Immune Cells with CIBERSORT. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2018;1711:243-259. doi:10.1007/978-1426 4939-7493-1_12
- 427
 428
 428
 428
 429
 429
 420
 420
 420
 421
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 426
 427
 428
 429
 429
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 421
 421
 421
 422
 421
 423
 424
 425
 426
 426
 427
 427
 428
 429
 429
 429
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
 420
- 431 24. Pei S, Zhang P, Yang L, et al. Exploring the role of sphingolipid-related genes in clinical
 432 outcomes of breast cancer. *Front Immunol*. 2023;14:1116839. Published 2023 Feb 13.
 433 doi:10.3389/fimmu.2023.1116839
- 434 25. Christofides A, Konstantinidou E, Jani C, Boussiotis VA. The role of peroxisome proliferator435 activated receptors (PPAR) in immune responses. *Metabolism.* 2021;114:154338.
 436 doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2020.154338
- 437 26. Mills KHG. IL-17 and IL-17-producing cells in protection versus pathology. *Nat Rev Immunol*.
 438 2023;23(1):38-54. doi:10.1038/s41577-022-00746-9
- 439 27. Gordon-Weeks A, Yuzhalin AE. Cancer Extracellular Matrix Proteins Regulate Tumour
 440 Immunity. *Cancers* (*Basel*). 2020;12(11):3331. Published 2020 Nov 11.
 441 doi:10.3390/cancers12113331
- 442 28. Pires A, Burnell S, Gallimore A. Exploiting ECM remodelling to promote immune-mediated
 443 tumour destruction. *Curr Opin Immunol*. 2022;74:32-38. doi:10.1016/j.coi.2021.09.006
- Yang K, Fan M, Wang X, et al. Lactate promotes macrophage HMGB1 lactylation, acetylation, and exosomal release in polymicrobial sepsis. *Cell Death Differ*. 2022;29(1):133-146.
 doi:10.1038/s41418-021-00841-9
- 30. Moreno-Yruela C, Zhang D, Wei W, et al. Class I histone deacetylases (HDAC1-3) are histone
 lysine delactylases. *Sci Adv.* 2022;8(3):eabi6696. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abi6696
- 31. Yang W, Wang P, Cao P, et al. Hypoxic in vitro culture reduces histone lactylation and impairs
 pre-implantation embryonic development in mice. *Epigenetics Chromatin*. 2021;14(1):57.
 Published 2021 Dec 21. doi:10.1186/s13072-021-00431-6
- 452 32. Li L, Chen K, Wang T, et al. Glis1 facilitates induction of pluripotency via an epigenome453 metabolome-epigenome signalling cascade [published correction appears in Nat Metab. 2020
 454 Oct;2(10):1179]. *Nat Metab.* 2020;2(9):882-892. doi:10.1038/s42255-020-0267-9
- 455 33. Irizarry-Caro RA, McDaniel MM, Overcast GR, Jain VG, Troutman TD, Pasare C. TLR signaling 456 adapter BCAP regulates inflammatory to reparatory macrophage transition by promoting histone 457 lactylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2020;117(48):30628-30638. U S Α. 458 doi:10.1073/pnas.2009778117
- 459 34. Hagihara H, Shoji H, Otabi H, et al. Protein lactylation induced by neural excitation. *Cell Rep.* 2021;37(2):109820. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109820
- 461 35. Jiao Y, Ji F, Hou L, Lv Y, Zhang J. Lactylation-related gene signature for prognostic prediction
 462 and immune infiltration analysis in breast cancer. *Heliyon*. 2024;10(3):e24777. Published 2024
 463 Jan 19. doi:10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e24777
- 36. Zhu Y, Qi X, Yu C, et al. Identification of prothymosin alpha (PTMA) as a biomarker for
 esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) by label-free quantitative proteomics and

- 466 Quantitative Dot Blot (QDB). *Clin Proteomics*. 2019;16:12. Published 2019 Apr 5. 467 doi:10.1186/s12014-019-9232-6
- 37. Yang L, Sun H, Liu X, et al. Circular RNA hsa_circ_0004277 contributes to malignant phenotype of colorectal cancer by sponging miR-512-5p to upregulate the expression of PTMA [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jan 21]. *J Cell Physiol.* 2020;10.1002/jcp.29484.
 471 doi:10.1002/jcp.29484
- 472 38. Dominguez F, Magdalena C, Cancio E, et al. Tissue concentrations of prothymosin alpha: a
 473 novel proliferation index of primary breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 1993;29A(6):893-897.
 474 doi:10.1016/s0959-8049(05)80433-2
- 39. Tsai YS, Jou YC, Tsai HT, Shiau AL, Wu CL, Tzai TS. Prothymosin-α enhances phosphatase
 and tensin homolog expression and binds with tripartite motif-containing protein 21 to regulate
 Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1/nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 signaling in
 human bladder cancer. *Cancer Sci.* 2019;110(4):1208-1219. doi:10.1111/cas.13963
- 479
 40. Martin TA, Pereira G, Watkins G, Mansel RE, Jiang WG. N-WASP is a putative tumour suppressor in breast cancer cells, in vitro and in vivo, and is associated with clinical outcome in patients with breast cancer. *Clin Exp Metastasis*. 2008;25(2):97-108. doi:10.1007/s10585-007-482
 9120-8
- 483
 41. Menotti M, Ambrogio C, Cheong TC, et al. Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (WASP) is a tumor
 484
 484
 484
 485
 486
 486
 487
 487
 488
 488
 488
 489
 489
 489
 489
 489
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
 480
- 485
 42. Mughees M, Bano F, Wajid S. Mechanism of WASP and WAVE family proteins in the progression of prostate cancer. *Protoplasma*. 2021;258(4):683-693. doi:10.1007/s00709-021-01608-2
- 48. Frugtniet B, Jiang WG, Martin TA. Role of the WASP and WAVE family proteins in breast cancer invasion and metastasis. *Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press)*. 2015;7:99-109. Published 2015 Apr 24. doi:10.2147/BCTT.S59006
- 44. Ai J, Huang H, Lv X, et al. FLNA and PGK1 are two potential markers for progression in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell Physiol Biochem*. 2011;27(3-4):207-216. doi:10.1159/000327946
- 493 45. Xie H, Tong G, Zhang Y, Liang S, Tang K, Yang Q. PGK1 Drives Hepatocellular Carcinoma
 494 Metastasis by Enhancing Metabolic Process. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2017;18(8):1630. Published 2017 Jul
 495 27. doi:10.3390/ijms18081630
- 496 46. Ahmad SS, Glatzle J, Bajaeifer K, et al. Phosphoglycerate kinase 1 as a promoter of metastasis in colon cancer. *Int J Oncol.* 2013;43(2):586-590. doi:10.3892/ijo.2013.1971
- 47. Yu W, Yang Z, Huang R, Min Z, Ye M. SIRT6 promotes the Warburg effect of papillary thyroid
 cancer cell BCPAP through reactive oxygen species. *Onco Targets Ther.* 2019;12:2861-2868.
 Published 2019 Apr 15. doi:10.2147/OTT.S194256
- 48. Lay AJ, Jiang XM, Kisker O, et al. Phosphoglycerate kinase acts in tumour angiogenesis as a disulphide reductase. *Nature*. 2000;408(6814):869-873. doi:10.1038/35048596
- 49. Fu D, He C, Wei J, et al. PGK1 is a Potential Survival Biomarker and Invasion Promoter by
 Regulating the HIF-1α-Mediated Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition Process in Breast Cancer.
 Cell Physiol Biochem. 2018;51(5):2434-2444. doi:10.1159/000495900
- 506 50. Hu H, Zhu W, Qin J, et al. Acetylation of PGK1 promotes liver cancer cell proliferation and tumorigenesis. *Hepatology*. 2017;65(2):515-528. doi:10.1002/hep.28887
- 508 51. Zhang Y, Yu G, Chu H, et al. Macrophage-Associated PGK1 Phosphorylation Promotes Aerobic
 509 Glycolysis and Tumorigenesis. *Mol Cell*. 2018;71(2):201-215.e7.
 510 doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2018.06.023
- 51. 52. Zhang N, Gao R, Yang J, et al. Quantitative Global Proteome and Lysine Succinylome Analyses
 512 Reveal the Effects of Energy Metabolism in Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Proteomics*.
 513 2018;18(19):e1800001. doi:10.1002/pmic.201800001

- 514 53. Dong W, Li H, Wu X. Rab11-FIP2 suppressed tumor growth via regulation of PGK1
 515 ubiquitination in non-small cell lung cancer. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2019;508(1):60-65.
 516 doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.11.108
- 517 54. He Y, Luo Y, Zhang D, et al. PGK1-mediated cancer progression and drug resistance. *Am J Cancer Res.* 2019;9(11):2280-2302. Published 2019 Nov 1.
- 519 55. Schneider CC, Archid R, Fischer N, et al. Metabolic alteration--Overcoming therapy resistance
 in gastric cancer via PGK-1 inhibition in a combined therapy with standard chemotherapeutics.
 521 *Int J Surg.* 2015;22:92-98. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2015.08.020
- 522 56. Sun S, Liang X, Zhang X, et al. Phosphoglycerate kinase-1 is a predictor of poor survival and a
 523 novel prognostic biomarker of chemoresistance to paclitaxel treatment in breast cancer. *Br J* 524 *Cancer*. 2015;112(8):1332-1339. doi:10.1038/bjc.2015.114

Figure.1 | Lactylation-related genes expression and mutation in breast cancer (A) Difference analysis of gene expression in breast cancer. (B) Differential expression of lactylation-related genes in breast cancer. (C) PPI network of lactylation-related genes. (D) Frequency of copy number variation of lactylationrelated genes. (E) Chromosomal distribution circle diagram of lactylation-related genes.

Figure.2 | Lactylation subgroups and their characteristic in breast cancer. (A) Network diagram of lactylation-related genes. (B) Forest plot of lactylation-related genes at different risks. (C) Unsupervised clustering of lactylation-related genes. (D) PCA analysis among different clusters. (E) Prognostic analysis among different clusters. (F) Relationship between clinicopathological features and expression levels of lactylation-related genes among different clusters. (G) GSVA analysis of signaling pathways between different clusters. (H) Immune infiltration levels between different clusters.

525

Figure.3 | Construction and evaluation of prognostic models. (A-B) LASSO regression screening of prognostic genes. (C) K-M curves of different risk groups. (D) ROC curves of different risk groups. (E) Expression of modeling genes in different risk groups. (F) Relationships between different clusters and risk scores, and survival status. (G) Differences in risk scores between different clusters. (H) Nomogram for predicting the probability of OS at 1, 3, and 5 years in breast cancer patients. (I) Calibration curve for nomogram. (J-L) DCA curves for nomogram.

528

529

530

Figure.4 Immune infiltration analysis. (A) Distribution and relative content of immune cells in different risk groups. (B-G) Correlation between risk scores and immune cell types. (H) Level of immune infiltration in different risk groups. (I) Correlation between modeling genes and immune cell abundance. (J) Correlation between risk scores and StromalScore, ImmuneScore.

531

Figure.5 |**Prognostic analysis, biological function, and drug sensitivity analysis of PGK1.** (A) Survival curves of PGK1. (B-C) Differences in OS and PFS among patients with varying levels of PGK1 expression in the GSE124647 dataset. (D-E) GO/KEGG analysis of biological functions and signaling pathways of differentially expressed genes between the high PGK1 group and low PGK1 group. (F-K) Comparison of the IC50 values of common antitumor drugs between the high PGK1 group and low PGK1 group, including epirubicin, palbociclib, ribociclib, sorafenib, cytarabine, gemcitabine.

532

Figure.6 Cell experiment. (**A**) qRT-PCR assessment of PGK1 mRNA levels after 24 hours of transfection. siRNA sequence could lead to a significant decrease in PGK1 mRNA expression (P<0.01). (**B**) CCK8 assay. The viability of the cells was significantly reduced after PGK1 Knockdown. (**C**) Scratch wound healing assay. A significantly slower scratch closure in the PGK1 knockdown group compared to the siRNA NC group. All data are expressed as the means \pm SD of the three experimental groups. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 were considered statistically significant.





Figure.1| Lactylation-related genes expression and mutation in breast cancer.



Figure.2 | Lactylation subgroups and their characteristic in breast cancer.



Figure.3 | Construction and evaluation of prognostic models.



Figure.4| Immune infiltration analysis.



Figure.5 |Prognostic analysis, biological function, and drug sensitivity analysis of PGK1.



Figure.6| Cell experiment.